SPECIAL TOPIC — 8th IUPAP International Conference on Biological Physics

Langevin approach with rescaled noise for stochastic channel dynamics in Hodgkin–Huxley neurons[*](#page-0-0)

Huang Yan-Dong(黄艳东),Li Xiang(李 翔),and Shuai Jian-Wei(帅建伟)[†](#page-0-1)

Department of Physics, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China

(Received 29 April 2015; revised manuscript received 20 August 2015; published online 20 October 2015)

The Langevin approach has been applied to model the random open and closing dynamics of ion channels. It has long been known that the gate-based Langevin approach is not sufficiently accurate to reproduce the statistics of stochastic channel dynamics in Hodgkin–Huxley neurons. Here, we introduce a modified gate-based Langevin approach with rescaled noise strength to simulate stochastic channel dynamics. The rescaled independent gate and identical gate Langevin approaches improve the statistical results for the mean membrane voltage, inter-spike interval, and spike amplitude.

Keywords: Langevin approach, rescaled noise, stochastic channel dynamics, Hodgkin–Huxley model

PACS: 05.10.Gg, 87.19.ll, 05.40.–a, 82.39.Wj DOI: [10.1088/1674-1056/24/12/120501](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1056/24/12/120501)

1. Introduction

In recent years, there has been increasing interest in the simulation of stochastic channel dynamics, i.e., the random opening and closing of ion channels. The stochastic Hodgkin– Huxley (HH) neuron^{[\[1\]](#page-4-0)} can be accurately simulated with the Markov method or Gillespie method.^{[\[2–](#page-4-1)[5\]](#page-4-2)} However, the computational cost of these Markov-based methods becomes very high with an increase in the number of channels. Thus, various Langevin approaches have been suggested wherein the chan-nel variables are modulated by Gaussian noise.^{[\[6](#page-4-3)[–11\]](#page-4-4)}

Among these approaches, Fox and Lu suggested a simple gate-based Langevin approach for a stochastic HH model.^{[\[6\]](#page-4-3)} With such an approach, the stochastic dynamics of $Na⁺$ and K ⁺ channels, consisting of several gates to control the channel opening and closing, can be calculated with the gate open fractions disturbed by Gaussian noise. The noise strength is determined by the channel number and fraction of open gates. Because of its simplicity, such a gate-based Langevin approach has been applied extensively to simulate stochastic HH channel dynamics and other channel systems.[\[12–](#page-4-5)[15\]](#page-4-6)

However, in the past few years, increasing evidence has indicated apparent inaccuracies in gate-based Langevin approaches.^{[\[16](#page-4-7)[–19\]](#page-4-8)} Mino *et al.*^{[\[16\]](#page-4-7)} first reported that the firing efficiency and spiking latency of the Fox–Lu gate-based approach for a neuron with 1000 Na⁺ channels responding to a pulse current differed from those for the Markov method. They suggested that, because the generation of action potentials in the HH model is highly dependent on the number of open $Na⁺$ channels, the number of open channels in the approach should be rounded down to the nearest integer to obtain

better statistical results. Later, Bruce^{[\[17\]](#page-4-9)} argued that a better treatment would be to round up the number of open $Na⁺$ channels to its nearest integer. Compared to rounding to the nearest integer, the rounding-down method always underestimates the $Na⁺$ current. Thus, statistically, the rounding-down treatment may produce decreased firing efficiency and increased spike latency.

Because each channel consists of several gates, Shuai and Jung^{[\[18\]](#page-4-10)} suggested two different considerations for the gatebased approach. In detail, each K^+ channel has 4 *n*-gates and each $Na⁺$ channel has an *h*-gate and 3 *m*-gates.^{[\[1\]](#page-4-0)} For the same type of gate, the gates can be either identical (and thus be disturbed by identical Gaussian noise) or independent (and thus be disturbed by different Gaussian noises). Later, Goldwyn *et al.*^{[\[8\]](#page-4-11)} pointed out that although the consideration of independent gates is more biologically realistic, the identical gate approach derives better statistics for action potentials than the independent gate approach for the stochastic HH neuronal model. However, Sengupta et al.^{[\[7\]](#page-4-12)} showed that the identical gate approach still underestimates the channel noise, resulting in overestimation of information rates with 6000 Na⁺ and $1800 K⁺ channels.$

Huang *et al.*^{[\[19\]](#page-4-8)} proposed the rescaled gate-based approach to address the localized intracellular Ca^{2+} signals $(Ca^{2+}$ puffs) that are released from a cluster of Ca^{2+} channels in the ER membrane. It has been shown that, by properly introducing a factor to rescale the gate noise strength, the modified Langevin approach can better simulate stochastic calcium channel dynamics. Compared to the Ca^{2+} channels in ER membrane where only one type of gate is discussed with stochastic dynamics, the Na^+ and K^+ channels in the HH

*Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation for Distinguished Young Scholars of China (Grant No. 11125419), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10925525), and the Funds for the Leading Talents of Fujian Province, China.

†Corresponding author. E-mail: jianweishuai@xmu.edu.cn

neuron present a more complicated situation because there are three different types of gates (i.e., 4 *n*-gates, 1 *h*-gate, and 3 *m*-gates). Thus, it is interesting to consider whether the gate noise can be rescaled to improve the gate-based Langevin approach for stochastic HH neuronal dynamics. In this study, we compare the identical gate Langevin approach and independent gate Langevin approach with rescaled-strength of Gaussian noise for the stochastic HH neuronal model. We show that the rescaled Langevin approach can greatly improve the statistical results.

2. Methods

The neuron membrane voltage for the deterministic HH model is given by the following equation^{[\[1\]](#page-4-0)}

$$
-C\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}t} = I_{\text{Na}} + I_{\text{K}} + I_{\text{L}}
$$

with *V* representing the membrane potential and *C* representing the membrane capacitance. The currents of $Na⁺$ channels and K^+ channels and the leakage are given by $I_{Na} =$ $g_{\text{Na}} h m^3 (V - E_{\text{Na}})$, $I_{\text{K}} = g_{\text{K}} n^4 (V - E_{\text{K}})$, and $I_{\text{L}} = g_{\text{L}} (V - E_{\text{L}})$, respectively. The equation for the gating variable *x* (with *n* for K^+ channels and *m* and *h* for Na⁺ channels) is

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \alpha(1-x) - \beta x.
$$

All of the model parameters have been described previously. $[1,11]$ $[1,11]$ The open/close two-state Markov process for each single gate (*n*, *m*, and *h* gates) has also been previously described^{[\[4](#page-4-13)[,11\]](#page-4-4)} and is considered to be a standard Markov method for stochastic channel dynamics in the paper.

There are two ways to consider the Gaussian noise for the gate variables. The first one is to assume identical gate dynamics with noise: $[6,8,18]$ $[6,8,18]$ $[6,8,18]$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \alpha(1-x) - \beta x + \lambda_x \frac{G_x}{\sqrt{N_x}} \zeta_x(t),
$$

where $\zeta(t)$ is the uncorrelated Gaussian white noise with zero mean and unit variance, and N_x represents the number of corresponding Na^+ or K^+ channels. The term *G* is a timedependent noise strength with

$$
G^{2}(t) = \alpha(1-x) + \beta x.
$$

The second way is to assume the independent gate dynamics for each gate. As a result, the channel currents are rewritten as $I_{\text{Na}} = g_{\text{Na}} h m_1 m_2 m_3 (V - E_{\text{Na}})$ and $I_{\text{K}} =$ $g_K n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4 (V - E_K)$, with

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}x_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \alpha(1-x_i) - \beta x_i + \lambda_x \frac{G_{x_i}}{\sqrt{N_x}} \zeta_{x_i}(t),
$$

where x_i represents h , m_1 , m_2 , m_3 , n_1 , n_2 , n_3 , and n_4 . In the simulation, we keep the boundary limitation of $0 \le x \le 1$ by simply requiring $x = 0$ or 1 once it is out of [0, 1]. O

In the original Fox–Li Langevin approach, $\lambda_x = 1$ (i.e., $\lambda_h = \lambda_m = \lambda_n = 1$.^{[\[6\]](#page-4-3)} However, we introduce the rescale factor λ_x to better simulate the strength of Gaussian noise on gate dynamics in the Langevin approach. We suggest that a set of rescale factors $\{\lambda_{x}\}\$ can be optimally selected to produce better statistical results for action potentials of a stochastic HH neuron.

3. Results

3.1. Finding the optimal rescale factors

Here, we use the number *N* to denote the number of K^+ channels. We also assume that the density of $Na⁺$ channels is always three times higher than that of K^+ channels.^{[\[4](#page-4-13)[,6\]](#page-4-3)} Thus, *N* potassium channels are equivalent to 3*N* sodium channels in the model. We do not consider any current stimulus on the model, thereby deterministically obtaining a stable fixed point for the model.

With the two-state Markov method, the stochastic action potentials can be obtained. Then, the mean voltage and its standard deviation, the mean inter-spike interval, and the mean spike amplitude are calculated as a function of channel number *N* from 10 to 2000. In our simulation, the first goal is to find an optimal set of λ_n , λ_m , and λ_h by comparing the error of the action potential statistics between the Langevin approach and the standard Markov HH model.

For the identical gate approach, our numerical simulations indicate that the statistical results for an action potential are insensitive to the change in the factor λ_h in the range of 0.5 to 3.0. This is understandable because the *h*-gate is a slow inactivation variable for $Na⁺$ channels to terminate the $Na⁺$ current. This variable has little effect on the initiation of action potentials, and the termination of the action potential is mainly determined by the K^+ current. Therefore, we set $\lambda_h = 1.0$. Furthermore, the simulations show that the two optimized factors $\lambda_n = 2.0$ and $\lambda_m = 1.8$ provide satisfactory mean voltages and mean inter-spike intervals.

For the independent gate approach, our simulation suggests that the statistical results for the action potential are insensitive to not only the change in λ_h , but also the change in λ_m . This observation indicates that, for the independent gate approach, the strength of Gaussian noise added on the Na⁺ channels has little effect on stochastic activity. Therefore, we set $\lambda_h = \lambda_m = 1.0$. Furthermore, our simulation shows that the independent gate approach with $\lambda_n = 3.0$ can produce satisfactory statistical results.

In the following subsection, we compare the statistical results for action potentials obtained by the Markov method (Markov) and different Langevin approaches, including the original independent gate approach (Indep), original identical gate approach (Ident), rescaled independent gate approach (rescaled Indep), and rescaled identical gate approach (rescaled Ident).

3.2. Statistics for the membrane voltage

The stochastic channel noise will produce a fluctuation of membrane voltage. We first compare the mean, $\langle V \rangle$, and standard deviation, *DV*, of the voltage obtained with these methods. The mean and standard deviation of the voltage are plotted in Fig. [1](#page-2-0) against the channel number *N*.

Fig. 1. (color online) The mean, $\langle V \rangle$, (a) and standard deviation, *DV*, (b) of the membrane voltage as a function of channel number *N*. In all the figures, the solid line represents the simulation results obtained by the Markov method, the dotted red line represents the original independent gate approach (Indep), the dashed black line represents the original identical gate approach (Ident), the red circles represent the rescaled independent gate approach (rescaled Indep), and the squares represent the rescaled identical gate approach (rescaled Ident).

Both the original identical and independent gate approaches typically produce a smaller value for the mean voltage than the Markov method. As a result, the rescale factor $\lambda \geq 1$ has to be considered to produce larger Gaussian noise in order to obtain a higher membrane voltage. The rescaled identical gate approach can reproduce a higher mean membrane voltage than the rescaled independent approach.

A maximal and a minimal voltage are detected during each time window of 0.1 sec, in which several action potentials will typically be observed. Then, the averaged maximal and minimal voltages can be calculated for long-term recording. The resulting averaged maximal and minimal voltages are plotted in Fig. [2](#page-2-1) against channel number *N*. The original independent gate approach shows worse curves for both the averaged maximal and minimal voltages at *N* > 100. The rescaled independent gate approach gives curves closest to those obtained by the Markov method.

Fig. 2. (color online) Bifurcation diagram showing the averaged maximal (upper symbols) and minimal voltages (lower symbols) of the membrane voltage as a function of channel number *N*.

3.3. Statistics of the action potential

Next, we study the mean inter-spike interval (*T*) and the mean spike amplitude (H) , which are shown in Fig. [3](#page-2-2) as a function of channel number *N*. With increasing *N*, the channel noise becomes small, generating an increasing mean interspike interval and a decreasing mean spike amplitude. Because the Gaussian noise given by the original identical and independent gate approaches is small, these two approaches produce a larger mean interval and smaller amplitude than those obtained by the Markov method for *N* > 30. Better results are

Fig. 3. (color online) Mean inter-spike interval (a) and spike amplitude (b) as a function of channel number *N*.

generated with the larger noise strength for the two rescaled approaches. The rescaled identical gate approach produces more accurate mean inter-spike intervals, whereas the rescaled independent gate approach provides more accurate spike amplitudes.

3.4. Closing probability of ion channels

Now we discuss why the rescaled approaches achieve better statistical results. Deterministically, without any channel noise, a stable fixed point is obtained for the HH neuron model, given a zero open fraction for $Na⁺$ channels and a small fixed open fraction for K^+ channels. When considering the stochastic channel dynamics, the random opening and closing of channels cause fluctuation in the open fractions of K^+ and Na⁺ channels in the ranges of [0, 0.35) and [0, 0.25), respectively.

Fig. 4. (color online) Closing probability of ion channels. The probabilities (a) $P(n_1n_2n_3n_4 = 0)$ and (b) $P(m_1m_2m_3n_4 = 0)$ that all K^+ and Na⁺ channels are closed against *N*.

For a proper Gaussian noise added on the gate variable, the probability to drive the channel open fraction to zero (i.e., the channel open fractions $n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4 = 0$ and $m_1 m_2 m_3 h =$ 0) should be similar to that given by the Markov method. Therefore, we study the probabilities $P(n_1n_2n_3n_4 = 0)$ and $P(m_1m_2m_3h = 0)$ that all the K⁺ and Na⁺ channels are in the closing state. Figure [4](#page-3-0) compares the plots of the probabilities $P(n_1n_2n_3n_4 = 0)$ and $P(m_1m_2m_3n_4 = 0)$ against *N* from 10 to 2000 for the Markov method and 4 different Langevin approaches. For the original identical and independent gate approaches, the Gaussian noises are too small, resulting in low probability at the zero open fraction.

However, for the two rescaled approaches, the rescaled Gaussian noise that is added on the gate variables is actually slightly larger, and such Langevin approaches can generate a strong fluctuation for gate variables. The strong fluctuation of gate variables results in larger probability at the zero open fraction, which is more similar to the probability given by the Markov method. With a better approximation of the open fractions for K^+ and Na^+ channels, the rescaled Langevin approaches can achieve better statistical results for fluctuated membrane voltage.

4. Discussion

Gate-based Langevin approaches have been widely applied for the study of stochastic channel dynamics after Fox and Lu proposed such approaches to simulate the stochastic HH neuronal model in 1994.^{[\[6\]](#page-4-3)} It has been realized that these simple approaches actually suggest an over-simplified noise approximation for stochastic channel simulation, leading to qualitatively correct but quantitatively incorrect conclusions. Thus, an interesting question is how to construct an improved Langevin approach to better approximate the Markovian channel dynamics.

In this paper, we indicate that the Gaussian noise suggested by the original identical and independent gate approaches is typically small. Thus, we propose to rescale the strength of the Gaussian noise for the gate-based Langevin approaches for the stochastic HH neuron model. With a larger Gaussian noise, the rescaled Langevin approaches can generate a strong fluctuation for gate variables, resulting in a larger probability at the zero open fraction, as given by the Markov method. With a better approximation of open fractions for K^+ and Na^+ channels, the rescaled Langevin approaches can achieve better statistical results for fluctuating membrane voltage. Our simulation results show that the rescaled identical gate approach agrees better with the Markov method regarding the calculation of mean membrane voltage and mean interspike interval, whereas the rescaled independent gate approach agrees better with the Markov method for the calculation of the bifurcation diagram of the averaged maximal and minimal voltages and the mean spike amplitude.

Because the rescale parameters for Langevin noise are not universal, the rescaled Langevin approach is an ad-hoc approach that calls for preliminary simulations to determine the empirical scaling factors. Stochastic channel dynamics are found widely in neuron dynamics and intracellular calcium signaling systems.[\[15\]](#page-4-6) Considering the simplicity of the gate-based Langevin approach, we believe that the rescaled Langevin approach may be applicable in many stochastic channel systems.

References

- [1] Hodgkin L and Huxley A F 1952 *[J. Physiol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1952.sp004764)* 117 500
- [2] Clay J and DeFelice L 1983 *[Biophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(83)84381-1)* 42 151
- [3] Gillespie D T 1977 *[J. Phys. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/j100540a008)* 81 2340
- [4] Jung P and Shuai J W 2001 *[Europhys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2001-00483-y)* 56 29 [5] Shuai J W and Jung P 2005 *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.114501)* 95 114501
- [6] Fox R F and Lu Y N 1994 *[Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.49.3421)* 49 3421
- [7] Sengupta B, Laughlin S B and Niven J E 2010 *[Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.81.011918)* 81 011918
- [8] Goldwyn J H, Imennov N S, Famulare M and Shea-Brown E [2011](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.83.049902) *[Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.83.049902)* 83 041908
- [9] Linaro D, Storace M and Giugliano M 2011 *[Plos Comput. Biol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1001102)* 7 [e1001102](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1001102)
- [10] Guler M 2013 *[Neural Comput.](http://118.145.16.217/magsci/article/article?id=19746517)* 25 46
- [11] Huang Y D, Ruediger S and Shuai J W 2013 *[Phys. Rev. E](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23410368)* 87 1
- [12] Schmid G, Goychuk I and Hanggi P 2001 *[Europhys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2001-00482-6)* 56 22
- [13] Casado J M 2003 *[Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601(03)00387-6)* 310 400
- [14] Wang M, Hou Z and Xin H 2004 *[Chem. Phys. Chem.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15535562)* 5 1602
- [15] Shuai J W and Jung P 2002 *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11863855)* 88 1
- [16] Mino H, Rubinstein J T and White J A 2002 *[Annals of Biomedical](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1114/1.1475343) [Engineering](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1114/1.1475343)* 30 578
- [17] Bruce I 2006 *[Ann. Biomed. Eng.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17180464)* 35 315
- [18] Shuai J W and Jung P 2002 *[Biophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/S0006-3495(02)75151-5)* 83 87
- [19] Huang Y D, Rüdiger S and Shuai J W 2011 *[Eur. Phys. J. B](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1140/epjb/e2011-20517-y)* 83 401

Chinese Physics B

Volume 24 Number 12 December 2015

- 128202 Computational prediction of over-annotated protein-coding genes in the genome of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain C58 Yu Jia-Feng, Sui Tian-Xiang, Wang Hong-Mei, Wang Chun-Ling, Jing Li and Wang Ji-Hua 128704 Catch-bond behavior of DNA condensate under tension Li Wei, Wong Wei-Juan, Lim Ci-Ji, Ju Hai-Peng, Li Ming, Yan Jie and Wang Peng-Ye 128705 Comparison of ligand migration and binding in heme proteins of the globin family Karin Nienhaus and G. Ulrich Nienhaus 128708 One-dimensional chain of quantum molecule motors as a mathematical physics model for muscle fibers Si Tie-Yan TOPICAL REVIEW — Magnetism, magnetic materials, and interdisciplinary research
- 127504 Magnetocaloric effects in RTX intermetallic compounds $(R = Gd-Tm, T = Fe-Cu$ and Pd, $X = Al$ and Si)

Zhang Hu and Shen Bao-Gen

- 127505 Novel magnetic vortex nanorings/nanodiscs: Synthesis and theranostic applications Liu Xiao-Li, Yang Yong, Wu Jian-Peng, Zhang Yi-Fan, Fan Hai-Ming and Ding Jun
- 127506 Self-assembled superparamagnetic nanoparticles as MRI contrast agents A review Su Hong-Ying, Wu Chang-Qiang, Li Dan-Yang and Ai Hua
- 128501 Real-space observation of individual skyrmions in helimagnetic nanostripes Jin Chi-Ming and Du Hai-Feng

RAPID COMMUNICATION

126301 Raman phonons in multiferroic FeVO₄ crystals Zhang An-Min, Liu Kai, Ji Jian-Ting, He Chang-Zhen, Tian Yong, Jin Feng and Zhang Qing-Ming

GENERAL

- 120301 Thermal vacuum state corresponding to squeezed chaotic light and its application Wan Zhi-Long, Fan Hong-Yi and Wang Zhen
- 120302 Dynamics of super-quantum discord and direct control with weak measurement in open quantum system Ji Ying-Hua
- 120303 Decoherence of genuine multipartite entanglement for local non-Markovian–Lorentzian reservoirs Mazhar Ali
- 120304 Quantum speed limits for Bell-diagonal states Han Wei, Jiang Ke-Xia, Zhang Ying-Jie and Xia Yun-Jie
- 120305 A note on local unitary equivalence of isotropic-like states Zhang Ting-Gui, Hua Bo-Bo, Li Ming, Zhao Ming-Jing and Yang Hong
- 120306 Fast multi-copy entanglement purification with linear optics Cai Chun, Zhou Lan and Sheng Yu-Bo

120307 Free-space measurement-device-independent quantum-key-distribution protocol using decoy states with orbital angular momentum

Wang Le, Zhao Sheng-Mei, Gong Long-Yan and Cheng Wei-Wen

- 120401 Unstable and exact periodic solutions of three-particles time-dependent FPU chains Liu Qi-Huai, Xing Ming-Yan, Li Xin-Xiang and Wang Chao
- 120502 Composition and temperature dependences of site occupation for Al, Cr, W, and Nb in MoSi₂ Li Xiao-Ping, Sun Shun-Ping, Yu Yun, Wang Hong-Jin, Jiang Yong and Yi Dan-Qing
- 120503 Entransy analyses of heat–work conversion systems with inner irreversible thermodynamic cycles Cheng Xue-Tao and Liang Xin-Gang
- 120601 Border effect-based precise measurement of any frequency signal Bai Li-Na, Ye Bo, Xuan Mei-Na, Jin Yu-Zhen and Zhou Wei
- 120701 Multistability of delayed complex-valued recurrent neural networks with discontinuous real-imaginarytype activation functions

Huang Yu-Jiao and Hu Hai-Gen

ATOMIC AND MOLECULAR PHYSICS

- 123101 Influence of a strong magnetic field on the hydrogen molecular ion using B-spline-type basis-sets Zhang Yue-Xia and Zhang Xiao-Long
- 123201 Comment on "Relativistic atomic data for W XLVII" by S. Aggarwal *et al.* [*Chin. Phys. B* 24 (2015) 053201]

Kanti M. Aggarwal

123401 Fast-electron-impact study on excitations of 4d electron of xenon

Zhang Xin, Liu Ya-Wei, Peng Yi-Geng, Xu Long-Quan, Ni Dong-Dong, Kang Xu, Wang Yang-Yang, Qi Yue-Ying and Zhu Lin-Fan

123601 Solvation of halogen ions in aqueous solutions at 500 K–600 K under 100 atm

Shen Hao, Hao Ting and Zhang Feng-Shou

ELECTROMAGNETISM, OPTICS, ACOUSTICS, HEAT TRANSFER, CLASSICAL MECHANICS, AND FLUID DYNAMICS 124101 Design of ultra wideband microwave absorber effectual for objects of arbitrary shape

Gong Yuan-Xun, Zhou Zhong-Xiang, Jiang Jian-Tang and Zhao Hong-Jie

124102 Propagation of an Airy-Gaussian beam in uniaxial contr

- Gong Yuan-Xun, Zhou Zhong-Xiang, Jiang Jian-Tang and Zhao Hong-Jie
- 124102 Propagation of an Airy–Gaussian beam in uniaxial crystals Zhou Mei-Ling, Chen Chi-Dao, Chen Bo, Peng Xi, Peng Yu-Lian and Deng Dong-Mei
- 124201 Propagation of rotating elliptical Gaussian beams from right-handed material to left-handed material Peng Xi, Chen Chi-Dao, Chen Bo and Deng Dong-Mei
- 124202 Increasing the range accuracy of three-dimensional ghost imaging ladar using optimum slicing number method

Yang Xu, Zhang Yong, Xu Lu, Yang Cheng-Hua, Wang Qiang, Liu Yue-Hao and Zhao Yuan

Wang Wen-Wu, Yan Jiang, Zhu Hui-Long, Zhao Chao, Chen Da-Peng and Ye Tian-Chun

127306 Investigation of trap states in Al_2O_3 InAlN/GaN metal-oxide-semiconductor high-electron-mobility transistors

Zhang Peng, Zhao Sheng-Lei, Xue Jun-Shuai, Zhu Jie-Jie, Ma Xiao-Hua, Zhang Jin-Cheng and Hao Yue

- 127307 Structures and electrical properties of pure and vacancy-included ZnO NWs of different sizes Yu Xiao-Xia, Zhou Yan, Liu Jia, Jin Hai-Bo, Fang Xiao-Yong and Cao Mao-Sheng
- 127309 Multi-step shot noise spectrum induced by a local large spin Niu Peng-Bin, Shi Yun-Long, Sun Zhu and Nie Yi-Hang
- 127401 First-principles simulation of Raman spectra and structural properties of quartz up to 5 GPa Liu Lei, Lv Chao-Jia, Zhuang Chun-Qiang, Yi Li, Liu Hong and Du Jian-Guo
- 127402 Study of Nb/Nb_xSi_{1−x}/Nb Josephson junction arrays Cao Wen-Hui, Li Jin-Jin, Zhong Yuan and He Qing
- 127501 Observation of spin glass transition in spinel LiCoMnO⁴ Chen Hong, Yang Xu, Zhang Pei-Song, Liang Lei, Hong Yuan-Ze, Wei Ying-Jin, Chen Gang, Du Fei and Wang Chun-Zhong
- 127502 Structure, morphology, and magnetic properties of high-performance NiCuZn ferrite He Xue-Min, Yan Shi-Ming, Li Zhi-Wen, Zhang Xing, Song Xue-Yin, Qiao Wen, Zhong Wei and Du You-Wei
- 127503 Fabrication and magnetic properties of $4SC(NH_2)_2-Ni_0$ $_{97}Cu_0$ $_{03}Cl_2$ single crystals Chen Li-Min, Guo Ying, Liu Xu-Guang, Xie Qi-Yun, Tao Zhi-Kuo, Chen Jing, Zhou Ling-Ling and Liu Chun-Sheng
- 127507 Al-doping-induced magnetocapacitance in the multiferroic $AgCrS₂$ Liu Rong-Deng, He Lun-Hua, Yan Li-Qin, Wang Zhi-Cui, Sun Yang, Liu Yun-Tao, Chen Dong-Feng, Zhang Sen, Zhao Yong-Gang and Wang Fang-Wei
- 127508 Spin frustration and magnetic ordering in triangular lattice antiferromagnet $Ca_3CoNb_2O_9$ Dai Jia, Zhou Ping, Wang Peng-Shuai, Pang Fei, Tim J. Munsie, Graeme M. Luke, Zhang Jin-Shan and Yu Wei-Qiang FORS
- 127701 Multifold polar states in Zn-doped $Sr_{0.9}Ba_{0.1}TiO₃$ ceramics Guo Yan-Yan, Guo Yun-Jun, Wei Tong and Liu Jun-Ming
- 127702 First-principles study of the relaxor ferroelectricity of $Ba(Zr, Ti)O₃$ Yang Li-Juan, Wu Ling-Zhi and Dong Shuai
- 127703 Comparative research on the optical properties of three surface patterning ZnO ordered arrays Hou Kai, Zhu Ya-Bin and Qiao Lu
- 127704 Ultrahigh frequency tunability of aperture-coupled microstrip antenna via electric-field tunable BST Du Hong-Lei, Xue Qian, Gao Xiao-Yang, Yao Feng-Rui, Lu Shi-Yang, Wang Ye-Long, Liu Chun-Heng, Zhang Yong-Cheng, Lü Yue-Guang and Li Shan-Dong
- 127801 Variation of efficiency droop with quantum well thickness in InGaN/GaN green light-emitting diode Liu Wei, Zhao De-Gang, Jiang De-Sheng, Chen Ping, Liu Zong-Shun, Zhu Jian-Jun, Li Xiang, Liang Feng, Liu Jian-Ping and Yang Hui

127802 Optical properties of F- and H-terminated armchair silicon nanoribbons

Lu Dao-Bang, Pu Chun-Ying, Song Yu-Ling, Pan Qun-Na, Zhou Da-Wei and Xu Hai-Ru

127803 Dielectric and magnetic properties of (Zn, Co) co-doped $SnO₂$ nanoparticles Rajwali Khan and Fang Ming-Hu

INTERDISCIPLINARY PHYSICS AND RELATED AREAS OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

- 128101 Charge trapping in surface accumulation layer of heavily doped junctionless nanowire transistors Ma Liu-Hong, Han Wei-Hua, Wang Hao, Yang Xiang and Yang Fu-Hua
- 128401 Optimal satisfaction degree in energy harvesting cognitive radio networks Li Zan, Liu Bo-Yang, Si Jiang-Bo and Zhou Fu-Hui
- 128706 Al-doping influence on crystal growth of Ni–Al alloy: Experimental testing of a theoretical model Rong Xi-Ming, Chen Jun, Li Jing-Tian, Zhuang Jun and Ning Xi-Jing
- 128710 Energy dependence on the electric activities of a neuron Song Xin-Lin, Jin Wu-Yin and Ma Jun
- 128711 Linear-fitting-based similarity coefficient map for tissue dissimilarity analysis in T_2^* -w magnetic resonance imaging

Yu Shao-De, Wu Shi-Bin, Wang Hao-Yu, Wei Xin-Hua, Chen Xin, Pan Wan-Long, Hu Jiani and Xie Yao-Qin

GEOPHYSICS, ASTRONOMY, AND ASTROPHYSICS

129501 Bayesian-MCMC-based parameter estimation of stealth aircraft RCS models

Xia Wei, Dai Xiao-Xia and Feng Yuan

